



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/709,386

04/30/2004

Ramesh NAGARAJAN

118447

3385

27074 7590 03/21/2008

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC.

P.O. BOX 320850

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850

EXAMINER

MOTSINGER, SEAN T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2624

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/21/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

OfficeAction27074@oliff.com

jarmstrong@oliff.com

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/709,386	Applicant(s) NAGARAJAN ET AL.	
	Examiner SEAN MOTSINGER	Art Unit 2624	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SEAN MOTSINGER.

(3) Srikant Viswanatham.

(2) Aashish R. Karkahanis.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 11 March 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-4 and 9-14.

Identification of prior art discussed: Noh US5917,952.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: General discussion and explanation with regrad to the 112 rejection and the objection to the drawings. Also Prior art Noah with respect to claim 1 was discussed. See attacted interview agenda.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Sean Motsinger/
Examiner, Art Unit 2624

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required